



Coach Craig Manning

Head Women's Tennis Coach Craig Manning earns the Compliance Office "Stamp of Approval" this month for his efforts and constant awareness concerning the initial eligibility of an international prospective student-athlete. Specifically, Coach Manning informed the Compliance Office over a year ago about the international prospective student-athlete and her anticipated enrollment date at BYU. We were able to have time to comfortably ensure the recruit's amateur status and work through academic information. Coach Manning has constantly and efficiently kept the Compliance Office informed about the recruit's situation and timeline. While cases with international recruits tend to be fairly complex and time consuming, Coach Manning has helped make it a rather simple case by taking steps on his own to gather the needed information and often requesting information from the student-athlete before being asked by the Compliance Office. Thanks Coach Manning for making this a simple process for both her and us!

Ask Before You Act!!!

Visit us on the internet at
byucougars.com/compliance

Trivia!

*Email or bring answers to Compliance by 5:00 p.m Jan 31st to be entered in a drawing to win gift cards from the Compliance Office!

- ❖ In the story about Alabama State University's infractions, the NCAA said that _____ is considered by the committee to be one of the most serious violations of NCAA rules.
- ❖ Wearing the uniform of the institution constitutes _____ of the institution and is therefore, not permissible for a student-athlete who wished to compete unattached.
- ❖ In the story for the reprimand of the University of Illinois men's tennis student-athlete, the NCAA said that _____ is a critical part in the lives of our student-athletes.
- ❖ It is NOT permissible for a student-athlete's name or picture to appear on _____ items such as T-shirts, sweatshirts, posters, etc. sold by individual or commercial entity without permission from BYU.
- ❖ January 15 is a _____ period for the sport of Softball.
- ❖ _____ cannot be involved with the recruitment of a prospect.

A C A D E M I C F R A U D Y S F
 T S G K N E U X J L U T N K G P
 P C D L J E I T S C D E M P E E
 L R E P R E S E N T A T I O N S
 A W F K M I O N B S E L C O E R
 I R D S C H M A P T L A D F J E
 C E B P E X C H N N G E E D P T
 R N A L B C B S B D N H A O C S
 E L B I K L O L L A B E C O E O
 M R E P C O Y T N C T B N G A O
 M P I H S N A M S T R O P S A B
 O J U F I O R C B H L E G E T O
 C C G O A L R A S C E S N K T O
 O T G U E H K P T R O N T P E F
 H C R R M E D I C O N T A C T J
 C C E R E B M E T P E S L L P E



Brigham Young University



Cougar Compliance

Volume 3, Issue 1

January 2009

Compliance Staff BYU Athletics

❖ **Chad Gwilliam**
 316 SAB
 422-1875

❖ **Adam Sanft**
 315 SAB
 422-2047

❖ **Jennifer Funkhouser**
 307 SAB
 422-6962

Division I Committee On Infractions Issues Decision On Alabama State University

*Indianapolis
 November 24, 2008*

The NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions has penalized Alabama State University for major and secondary violations in its athletics programs, primarily in football.

This case involves a lack of institutional control; a failure to monitor by the former head coach; and violations in several areas, including academic fraud, recruiting, extra benefits, as well as ineligible participation and financial aid.

"A revolving door of administrators at the insti-

tution, including within the department of athletics, was a prime factor in the institution's inability to establish a viable compliance program, which ultimately resulted in the institution's failure to exercise institutional control," the committee stated in its report.

Penalties for the violations, including those self-imposed by the university, include five years of probation; a ban on postseason football competition in 2009; a reduction in recruiting activities; athletic scholarship reductions; vacation of records; a compliance program review;

and a two-year show-cause order for the former head coach.

This show-cause penalty is specifically targeted on increased monitoring of the former head coach and enhancement of his rules education. These limitations are further detailed in the public report.

Academic fraud is considered by the committee to be one of the most serious violations of NCAA rules. During the 1999-00 and 2004-05 academic years, university staff member(s) arranged for fraudulent academic credits for **cont. P3 Violations**

Inside this issue:

Sportsmanship	2
Use of Name, Picture, Likeness	2
Recruiting Calendar	2
Violations	3
Unattached	3
Q & A	3
Trivia	4

Competing Unattached (Individual Sports)

- When a student-athlete competes unattached (i.e., competes as an individual, representing only himself or herself) in any competition, the institution may not provide any expenses to the participating student-athlete. The student-athlete is considered to be representing the institution in outside competition when provided expenses from the institution. This includes the institution providing transportation (e.g., individual riding on team bus to competition) to an unattached participant.
- Wearing the uniform of the institution **contp3 Unattached**

Reprimand Upheld For University of Illinois Tennis Student-Athlete

INDIANAPOLIS—

The Administrative Committee of the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet has denied the appeal of the public reprimand of University of Illinois, Champaign, student-athlete Ryan Rowe, for his unsportsmanlike behavior during his doubles match of the 2008 NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Championships.

The Administrative Committee reviewed correspondence that was submitted and statements made during a teleconference

"Sportsmanship is a critical part in the lives of our student-athletes. Throughout all levels of competition, there is increasing concern about the behavior occurring during athletic competition. There is no doubt that we all appreciate the enthusiastic and spirited effort that our student-athletes bring to the competitive arena; however, it is equally important that all participants are responsible for their actions. The committee feels that good sportsmanship is truly an integral part of conducting a successful championship and will continue to support those values." -Sybil Blalock, NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee chair

between the committee and representatives from the university in the process of coming to its decision.

In addition to upholding the reprimand,

the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee will withhold transportation and per diem payment for Rowe for this event.

Use of Student-Athlete's Name, Likeness or Picture

NCAA legislation states that any commercial items with names, likenesses or pictures of student-athletes may be sold **ONLY** at member institutions at which the student-athletes are enrolled and institutionally controlled (owned and operated) outlets.

It is **NOT** permissible for a student-athlete's name or picture to appear

on commercial items such as T-shirts, sweatshirts, posters, etc. sold by individual or commercial entity without permission from BYU.

Additionally, it is not permissible for a student-athlete's name or picture to be used to promote a commercial product. The use of a student-athlete's name or picture on a commercial item or used in the promo-

tion of a commercial product could jeopardize the eligibility of the student-athlete.

In addition, the use of trademarked items on commercial products without permission from the original entity will have additional consequences for the individual using the trademark.

January 2009 Recruiting Calendar

Baseball

Jan 1; 6-31 Quiet Period
Jan 2-6 DEAD Period

Basketball (Men's)

Jan 1-31 Evaluation Period

Basketball (Women's)

Jan 1-31 Evaluation Period

Football

Jan 1; 12-15 DEAD Period
Jan 2-4; 11; 16-17 Quiet Period
Jan 5-10; 18-31 Contact Period
JC Prospects: Jan 5-10 Quiet Period

Softball

Jan 1 Quiet Period
Jan 2-31 Contact Period

Track and Field/CC

Jan 1-2 Quiet Period
Jan 3-31 Contact Period

Volleyball (Women's)

Jan 1-15 Quiet Period
Jan 16-31 Contact Period

All Other Sports

Jan 1-31 Contact Period

Violations cont. from Page 1

eight football student-athletes when their original letter grades were changed without approval from the appropriate university administrators. Six of these student-athletes avoided ineligibility status due to the grade changes.

Other violations in this case included ineligible participation and financial aid, extra benefits, impermissible out-of-season workouts and recruiting violations, among other outlined in the public report.

During the 1999-00 to 2002-03 academic years, numerous football, men's and women's basketball and baseball student-athletes

were allowed to practice, compete and receive athletic scholarships while ineligible. The committee found the university failed to employ an adequate and consistent procedure for certifying and monitoring the eligibility of student-athletes.

It was also found that seven football student-athletes and six prospective football student-athletes received impermissible inducements and extra benefits in the form of lodging, utilities or meals at no cost.

In particular, the committee stated in its report that the university created an environment that facili-

tated violations of NCAA rules across several university departments and offices, including housing and residential life, financial aid, as well as athletics. The committee found that the former head coach failed to monitor his and his staff's activities for NCAA rules compliance and failed to maintain an atmosphere of compliance among his staff and within the football program. The committee noted that a head coach has "an affirmative obligation to be proactive in creating an environment of compliance where coaches understand their obligation to avoid rules violations and to timely report any violations that occur."

Unattached cont. from Page 1

- constitutes representation of the institution; therefore, the student-athlete, but rule, would not be considered to be competing unattached if he or she were wearing the institution's uniform.
- The institution is not permitted to provide athletics training support and other medical services prior to or after the match for student-athletes who are competing unattached.
- The institutional coaches may not provide coaching or instruction to an unattached student-athlete during competition.
- While it is permissible for the unattached student-athlete's institutional affiliation to be identified (e.g., in a program, by an announcer), their participation should be clearly defined as being independent of the institution in order to avoid any confusion related to their participation.

Question and Answer...

Q: If a former player is on campus visiting during the same time a recruit is on campus for a visit, can the former student-athlete join the recruit and coach for lunch?

A: No. Boosters cannot be involved with the recruitment of a prospect.

For any questions, please see Compliance.

